THE TYPE-SPECIES OF THE GENUS *PSEUDANISAKIS* LAYMAN & BOROVKOVA, 1926 (NEMATODA). Z.N.(S.) 2020

By D. I. Gibson (British Museum (Natural History) London SW7)

Layman & Borovkova (1926) erected *Pseudanisakis* as a sub-genus of *Anisakis* Dujardin, 1845, for some adult nematodes from *Raja radiata* whose denticular apparatus formed a complete ring around the mouth. Layman & Borovkova (1926) believed these specimens to be identical with those described by Rudolphi (1819) as *Ascaris rotundata*. *Pseudanisakis* was raised to the generic level by Mosgovoi (1950). Yamaguti (1941) also erected a genus *Pseudanisakis* (nec Layman & Borovkova, 1926) to hold a new species of nematode from a ray; but as this is a junior homonym of *Pseudanisakis* Layman & Borovkova, 1926, it has been re-named by Mosgovoi (1950). Wülker (1930) erected the genus *Anacanthocheilus* within which he placed some nematodes from *Raja oxyrhynchus* which he considered to be identical with *Ascaris rotundata* of Rudolphi (1819). Punt (1941) used the name *Eustoma rotundatum* (Rud., 1819) for specimens from *Raja clavata* which he considered to be synonymous with specimens from the same host that had been named *Eustoma truncata* by van Beneden (1871).

Hartwich (1957) has examined Rudolphi's (1819) original specimens of Ascaris rotundata from Squalus glaucus (now Prionace glauca), and considers that they are, in fact, specimens of the genus Acanthocheilus Molin, 1858. He also concluded that they are identical to Acanthocheilus bicuspis (Wedl, 1855) (= A. quadridentatus Molin, 1858), which therefore becomes A. rotundatus (Rud., 1819) Hartwich, 1957. Hartwich (1957) then revived Eustoma truncata van Beneden, 1871, as the next available name for E. rotundatum (Rud., 1819) of Punt (1941), and indicates that Anisakis (Pseudanisakis) rotundata (Rud., 1819) of Layman & Borovkova (1926), Anacanthocheilus rotundatus (Rud., 1819) of Wülker (1930) and Pseudanisakis rotundata (Rud., 1819) of Mosgovoi (1950) are all synonyms of this species. However, Williams & Richards (1968) show that Eustoma Piette, 1855, is an available name for a Jurassic prosobranch mollusc, and that Eustoma van Beneden, 1871, should therefore be discarded. Similarly, Eustoma truncata van Beneden, 1871, is unrecognisable, because the original mention of this name did not include a description and, in agreement with Yamaguti (1961: p. 32), it seems impossible to identify the species or genus from van Beneden's (1871) illustrations. The location of Beneden's types is not known and they are apparently lost. As indicated by Williams & Richards (1968), the next available name for the genus is Pseudanisakis Layman & Borovkova, 1926. Nevertheless, the anomalous position of the appellation Pseudanisakis rotundata (Rud., 1819), provisionally accepted by Williams & Richards, has been noted recently by Dollfus (1970) and Margolis (1970). It is clear, therefore, that a new name is required for the type-species of this genus.

The new name P. tricupala Gibson, 1973, proposed for Pseudanisakis rotundata auctorum, non (Rud., 1819) is based upon specimens from Raja

radiata present in the collection of the British Museum (Natural History). This species has been described in detail by Williams & Richards (1968) and Gibson (1973).

Ascaris rotundata was originally described as a parasite of the sharks Prionace glauca and Galeorhinus galeus by Rudolphi (1819). Bellingham (1844), however, recorded, without a description, specimens under the same name from Raja batis and Gadus morhua. This record from R. batis, which was almost certainly inaccurate, was repeated by Dujardin (1845), Diesing (1851), von Linstow (1878), Örley (1885) and Stossich (1896) in their major works on helminths. Rays, therefore, became accepted hosts of this species. The picture was further distorted when the first detailed descriptions of "Ascaris rotundata Rud." by von Linstow (1880) and Jägerskiöld (1894) were both from rays. Hartwich's (1957) work has shown that Ascaris rotundata in its original sense is in fact a species of Acanthocheilus, whereas in its recent sense it had become Pseudanisakis. Layman & Borovkova (1926) had therefore misidentified the type-species of Pseudanisakis. Pseudanisakis cannot be considered a synonym of Acanthocheilus, because there are distinct morphological differences between the two genera. Pseudanisakis spp. appear to be primarily parasites of rays, whereas Acanthocheilus spp. are parasites of sharks and dogfishes.

The Commission is requested:

(1) to use its plenary powers to set aside all designations of type-species for Pseudanisakis Layman & Borovkova, 1926, and having done so to designate *Pseudanisakis tricupola* Gibson, 1973, as type-species of that

(2) to place the generic name Pseudanisakis L. & B., 1926 (gender: feminine) type-species, by designation under the plenary powers, P. tricupola,

on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology;

(3) to place the specific name tricupola Gibson, 1973, as published in the binomen Pseudanisakis tricupola (type-species of Pseudanisakis Layman & Borovkova, 1926) on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.

REFERENCES

Bellingham, O. 1844. Catalogue of Irish Entozoa with observations. Ann. Mag. nat. Hist. 13: 167-174

Van Beneden, P.-J. 1871. Les poissons des côtes de Belgique, leurs parasites et leurs commensaux. Mém. Acad. r. Belg. Cl. Sci. 38: 1-100

DIESING, C. M. 1851. Systema helminthum II. Vindobonae

DOLLFUS, R. Ph. 1970. Les vers nématodes du Hareng. C. r. Congr. Socs. sav. (Pau, 1969) Sect. Sci. 94 (3): 351-426

DUJARDIN, F. 1845. Histoire naturelle des Helminthes ou vers intestinaux. Paris. GIBSON, D. I. 1973. The genus Pseudanisakis Layman & Borovkova, 1926 (Nematoda: Ascaridida). J. nat. Hist. 7: 319-340

HARTWICH, G. 1957. Zur Systematik der Nematoden-Superfamilie Ascaridoidea. Zool. Jb. 3: 211-252

JÄGERSKIÖLD, L. A. 1894. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Nematoden. Zool. Jb., Abt.

Anat. 7:449-532

LAYMAN, E. M. & BOROVKOVA, M. J. 1926. Parasitic worms of the skate (Raja radiata Don.) from material of the 15th Federal Helminthological Expedition. Rab. parzit. Lab. mosk. gos. Univ.: 9-15 (in Russian)

Von Linstow, O. 1878. Compendium der Helminthologie. Hannover

—— 1880. Helminthologische Untersuchungen. Arch. Naturgesch. 47: 41-54 MARGOLIS, L. 1970. Nematode diseases of marine fishes. In: Snieszko, S. F. (Ed.) Symp. on diseases of fishes and shellfishes. Am. Fish. Soc. Spec. Publ. No. 5: 190-208

Mosgovoi, A. A. 1950. Notes on the foundation of the genus Pseudanisakis (Layman & Borovkova, 1926) nov. gen. Trudý gel'mint Lab. 4:17-24 (in

Russian)

ÖRLEY, L. 1885. A czápáknak és Rájáknak belféregei. Termeszetr. Fuz. 9: 97-126 [Summary: Die Entozoen der Haien und Rochen. Termeszetr. Fuz. 9:216-220]

PUNT, A. 1941. Recherches sur quelques nématodes parasites de poissons de la

mer du nord. Mém. Mus. r. Hist. nat. Belg. 98: 1-110

RUDOLPHI, C. A. 1819. Entozoorum synopsis cui accedunt mantissa duplex et indeces locupletissimi. Berolini

STOSSICH, M. 1896. Il genere Ascaris Linné. Boll. Soc. adriat. Sci. nat. 17: 9-120 WILLIAMS, H. H. & RICHARDS, D. H. H. 1968. Observations on Pseudanisakis rotundata (Rudolphi, 1819) Mosgovoi, 1950, a common but little known parasite of Raja radiata Donovan in the northern North Sea. J. Helminth. 42:199-220

WÜLKER, G. 1930. Über Nematoden aus Nordseetieren. II. Zool. Auz. 88: 1-16 YAMAGUTI, S. 1941. Studies on the helminth fauna of Japan. Part 33. Nematodes

of fishes. II. Jap. J. Zool. 9: 343-396 1961. Systema Helminthum Vol. III. Parts I & II. The nematodes of vertebrates. Inter-Science Publisher's Ltd., London